G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

191 8

(Inwards)

File No. 558 / 8

Serial Nos. 1—4,

Later references.

755 of

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for March 1920.

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the Ratotsava of Sri Mallikarjuna Devaru Temple at Pina, Gajapati District, Kadiam Taluq

---

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

G. R. S. III—1] 1918. 9.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inam)

NOTES.

File No. 558-18

FILE HEADING.

Rathotsavam of the Mallikarjuna Swamin temple at Gajapati, Kadur Taluk.

From

Gajapati Subbabhatta & Lakshmiramanappa

No. Telegram Dated 14. 3-19 Received 14. 3-19.

Diary No. 1889	Enclos. — Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Complain that Sirastadar conducted the Rathotsavam of the temple in violation of the prevailing manual of the Brahmins' Exclusive right of worship, carrying the idol &c

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1st Sheet.

III–3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D. 15-89

The enclosed tele-
gram received just
now is submitted for
the necessary remark.
Obviously the Sec's
order is by way of com-
promise between the Brah.
min's claim and what
happened last year. In
the absence of further in-
formation it does not
seem possible to pass
any orders. The telegram
may be forwarded to

What did happen?

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. 111—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record.]

The AG must for this final.

The petitioner inquires informed that a decision on merits should be obtained and appealed against if so advised

lea
14.3.19

Put in number

the Earl Arbuthnot
detailed report with the
observations that, as
as possible, the existing
manual in the matter
should be adhered to until
it is changed under
proper authority.

14.3.19

That the matter has
been referred to the
D.G. for a
report

Draft reply

lea
14.3.19

KB.
14/3/19.

N. B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Two Deputy Mins appl.
RG
15/3/19

RS
15/3/19

Secy)

Mins
Secy
15/3/19

Secret Submit.
RS
15/3/19

NB Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S.,III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

1589

Mis. File No. 558 of 1918.

Serial No.

To the Dy Com^r Rd. 2288 & 15.3.19
forwards the telegrams for and req^s
subm^n of a report in the matter with
the observation that the Excising
Manual should be altered & the
Untch changed & proper action taken.

To the R^ts Rd. 2523 & 15.3.19
Informs that the telegrams
have been req^d of the Dy Com^r for
report.

Noted as desired.

Sd
15/3/19

Submit with reference to orders on p 2. The allegation was that Sivachar Pujaries forcibly carried deity to the Car last year and this was mentioned in the telegrams.

Sd.
15/3/19.

Secy.)

837
17.3.19

1st member) lea 17.3.19

Secy. I was referring not to the allegations, but to what the Asst Commissioner Harveer, nothing else need be done till the report is received —

(17.3.19)

Secy

lea
17/3/19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789 GPB—60 000—20.3.19—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mercy File No. 558 of 1918.

Serial No.

Britabba will please put up this file.

BL
7-1-20

Mercy. 7-1-20 558-18 Issue with Contributed
VK
7.1.20

The file referred to in the Slip is sub. for the perusal of the Secy. Rev. Reconstruction Branch.

BL
7-1-20

Mr. Secretary Hemmade
Mr. President - Now 7/1/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 538 of 1918.

Serial No.

Draft forwarding the petition to the Dy Comm Kadur Dist. for report in continuation of this office No. 2822 dated 18.3.1919, put up for approval and other orders.

16.1.20 17.1.20

Some-marked by A.C.S.

20-1-20

From, the Dy Commr, Kadur Dist,
Letter no. 505 dy 13.2.1920.

Reports that he concurs in the view of the Sub Divl officer that in the matter of the Ratotsava of Mallikarjuna Swami temple at Pura, Kadur Taluk, as per manual, the Brahmans have the exclusive right of hereditary from time the deity is brought to the Kalyanamantapam till it is seated in the car and the car is dragged a few yards.

The Dy. Commr's. report explains

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD A 1789- GPB—60 000—20 3 19—C.

1566

[illegible.S.111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 558 of 1918.

Serial No.

The facts of the case fully. The Dy. Commr. advs. that as the Ratotsava of this year comes off in March next, he is issuing orders to the Sub Divl. Officer, Chickmagalur, to have it conducted in accordance with manul.

Muz. I. no 205-16 relating to the Ratotsava of Belagali Sri Ranganatha Swamy is put up. to serve as a parallel case Attention is respectfully invited to pages 9 and 10 of the office note containing the previous Muz Secretary's views. in this case The decision in that case is similar to the view taken by the Dy Commr in the present case under reference. Submitted for orders.

27.2.1920

Approved the Commr may be recorded

28/2-20

In this case, the Dy. has decided finally what the Swamy is to do. He refers that the Swamy with he cannot do it in favour of the petrs.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000 20-3

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mur File No. 558 of 191 8.

Serial No.

No further orders are called for.
The Dy Cs report. may be recorded & the
petr informed as soon the question
has been decided by the Dy C

Considering the circumstances of
the case. two points perhaps call for
particular notice - & they are:-

(1) That the Dy C should, on a petn
from one party - have issued a
Summary order of 8. 3. 19 - ~~specifying~~
the Station that such & such a pro-
-cedure was the manil - instead
of ascertaining the facts & the exact
manil - by some inquiry - particu-
-lars as a report on the subject
had been called for from the Asst C
with refce to a disturbance in
March 18.

(2) ~~The Asst C to whom the petns of the~~
The petns and from the Boatmans,
in March 18 were refd to the Dy C Asst for report ~~& report thereon~~ in May 18.
for very early report - ~~He took 9 months~~
After 9 months, he submitted his first
report of 22. 2. 19. stating that the
Jammadars had acted against manil
& soon afterwards on the Dy Cs orders
8 March 19, he submitted a second

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

10

G. R. S, III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mem File No. 53 of 1918

Serial No

Cr

~~Report dt 22 15.3.19 Gracious~~

Report dt 15.3.19, stating quite the reverse of what he had reported. Lately 3 weeks hence. Such conflicting reports from a responsible Taluka officer are indeed surprising.

To see) Asstt. 29.2.20

Put up a draft recalling the report 2nd 1/3/20

Dpr. S.O. Submitted.

3/3/20 Asstt 3.3.20

3/3/20 To see) S.

Asst To see) 5.3.20

5735-119 6 3 20

Second Member) 2nd 6/3/20

Draft approved.

The delay & the discrepancy in the reports of the Amildar on the permit of measurement is very unsatisfactory. The papers to be returned to the Amildar by Cr. with a pasting complaining orders in one & the same matter

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2j

154

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 588 of 1918

Serial No.	
	Withheld pro proper enquiries also they blameworthy. As this office Mr. P. Devaraj Ehs. has retired since, no further notice is necessary. Peel 8.3.20 Name W.J 8/3/20. AWL 8/3
4.	To, by. Commr. Ladhr. No. 3187 dt 26.3.20. Records the correspondence in the matter

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Forwards for early reports the Telegram from Yagata Subba Bhatta & Kale Ammi Ramappa re Rathotsavam of Yagati Malikarjuna Swami Rathotsavam.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Peer
File No. 558-18
Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, the 191 .

No. 2822/Endos 2

Date of issue 15-3-1919

To

The Dep. Com^r
Kadur D^t

Signed by Asst. Secretary

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a telegram dated the 4th March 1919 received from Yagati Subbabhatta & Kale Ammi Ramappa of Kadur stating that the Rathotsavam of the Malikarjuna Swami at Yagati have been forcibly carried the last year in the Rathotsavam of

over.

injunction of the Malikarjuna Swami at Yagati have been permitted to conduct the Rathotsavam in evidence of the prevailing Manual of the Boatmans having given the exclusive right of worship, carrying the idol and dragging the car in the first instance

~~Yagata Kadetskaya~~

~~Armaments~~ and suggesting

that the manual may be

revised or the Kathotsarga

stopped this year pending disposal of

the questions, and to request

that a detailed report may be

sent ~~early~~ in the matter. I am

also to request that as

far as possible the existing

manual in the matter be

adhered to until it is

changed under proper authority.

at an early date.

I have &c

Lea

15/3/19

Gt. Lee Secretary

15/3/19. 15/3/19

List of enclosure

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15 11 16

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

2 of 6

SUBJECT..... Form .....

..... Stating that the Rep. of Gagali Subbabhatta has been sent to Dy Sm. Kd. on 8.3.19..

Diary No. of letter replied to..... ....

Section Draft
File No.
Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )
, the *191* .

Draft
No. 28:3/Selos:

Date of issue 15.3.19

To

Gagali Subbabhatta
& Lakshminarayappa
Kadur

Signed by for Private Secretary

In the matter of the Lakshminarayan

In the copy of their telegram dated the 7th March 1919 complaining ~~that the~~ sanctioning ~~the~~ of the carrying ~~of the Deity~~ in the Rathotsavam of the Sri Gagali Mallikarjuna Swami Temple against

Zagali Subbachetty
& Lakshmi Ananapha
are informed that the
telegrams have been referred
to the Dy Com. of Pol
Report. The forms for reply wire are.
Dy Com
Pol
Hyderabad.

Dy
Pol
15/3/19

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15 11 16

C. Read 325 POSTS 2 TELEGRAPHS 8-19

(Repaid per settlers)

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charge to pay

Rs.	As.
5	

14/3/19

Handed in at (Office of Origin)	Date.	Hour.	Sent.	Service Instructions	Words
					11

TO

Sent Out at

C.

form must accompany any

Charges to Pay

Rec'd	Ac.

Office Stamp.

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.

Recd. here at H. M.

TO

N.B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed as written after the text.

Lal Chand & Sons.—9-06—17-12-17—1 63,000 Bks. (Edn. II).

C. 12 POSTS TELEGRAPHS. 15

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this T[illegible]

Rs	As.	[illegible] Stamp.

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.

TO

III

Recd. here at	H.	M.

Tomorrow 4th
[illegible]
[illegible]
seventh eighteen camp. that we value
Promise and [illegible] joint
during duty up [illegible]

Wood.

N.B.—The name of the Sender should be telegraphed as written after the word.

Lal Chand & Sons. 4706—17-12-17—1,63,000 Bks (Edn. II).

C.

POSTS TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting Telegram.

Charges to pay.

Office Stamp.

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.

TO

Rcvd. here at H. M.

petition for Writ

purposely not [illegible]

prejudiced [illegible]

Hon Sirs,

[illegible]

to stop [illegible]

N.B.—The name of the Sender if telegraphed is to be given after the word

[illegible] (Edn. II).

TELEGRAM

Rec'd 3.25 P.M. 14/5/17 L.X.

No.

Y. 1. 30.

Lal Chand & Sons—4453—28-11-17—1,36,00,000 Edn II.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any enquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.

Rs. | As.

Handed in at (Office of Origin). | Date. | Hour. | Minute.

Service Instructions.

TO

Recd. here on | H. | M.

N.B.—The name of the Sender of a telegram is a valuable aid to the Department in case of mistakes occurring in the transmission or delivery of telegrams.

Lal Chand & Sons—4796—17-12-17—1,62,000 Sks. (Edn. II).



N.B.—The name of the Sender, Date, and Office from which the Telegram is sent, should be written on the Form.

al Chand & Sons—4796—17-12-17—1,65,000 Pads (Edn. V).

POST TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

Charges to pay.

Rs. As.

Office Stamp

Handed in at (Office of Origin).

Date. Hour. Minutes.

Office of Destination.

TO

Read, here & at

F. M.

N.B.—The name of the Sender of telegraphic [illegible] written after the text.

Lal Chand & Sons—4706—17-12-17—1,63,000 [illegible] Bdn. [illegible]

C.

STEL

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.	
Rs.	A.

Office Stamp

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Case.	Received the Transmitter.	Words.

TO

Recd. here at | H. | M.

Rem.—The name of the Sender, as telegraphed, is to be written after the text.

Lal Chand & Sons—1796—17-12-17—1,03,000 bks (Form "T")

To

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.	
Rs.	As.

Handed in at (Office of Origin). | Date. | Hour. | Minutes. | Service Instructions. | Words.

TO

Received here at | H. | M.

against Mr. [illegible] at Nasik
for not giving Delivery
over Petition entire agreement
Purchase kept back by
Government Authorities Contrary
Pray Enquire by

N.B.—The name of the Sender of a Telegram is written after the words

Lal Chand & Sons—4796—17-12-17—1,62,000 Blks. (Eng. II).

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.		Office Stamp.
Rs.	As.	

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words

TO

Recd. here at H. M.

*N.B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Lal Chand & Sons—4706—17-12-17—1,63,000 Bks. (Edn. II).

POSTS S. TEL.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this

£s.



Handed in at (*Office of Origin*).	*Date.*	*Hour.*	*Mins.*	*Service Instructions.*	*Words.*
TO				*Recd. here at* H.	M.

N.B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Eal Chand & Sons—2706—17-12-17—1,63,000 Bks. (Edn. II).

S TEGRAPHS

NOTICE

...rm must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Office Stamp

Re

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute	Service Instructions	Words
TO				Read, here at	H. M

Regret - Indisposition

N.B.—The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Bal Chand & Sons—27 06-12-17-12-17—1,63,000 Bks. (Edn. II)

W.D. 9211—GDC—40,00.000—19 5 16—S

1581

4 20
Rev
558 18
3

21610

1919-20

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore -
Muzrai department
Bangalore.

Dated 12th
Despatched 13th } February 1920
Received

General No. HC. 505
No. 7-18

Enclosure No. one for file.

Submits a detailed report together with the records in the matter of the prevailing manner regarding carrying deity of the Sri Malleshwara swami temple at Sringeri in the Kadur taluk from the Lakshmi Mantapam to the river -

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District,

Dated Chikmagalur the 12th February 1920.

From,

K. Mylar Rao, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

To,

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A,B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department,

B A N G A L O R E .

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.2822/MUZ. 558-18-2 dated 15th March 1919 forwarding two telegrams dated the 14th idem received from Yagati Subbabhatta and Lakshminaranappa stating that the Sivachar Pujaries of the Sree Mallikarjuna Swami temple at Pura in the Kadur Taluk have been permitted to conduct the Rathothasavam in violation of the prevailing mamul and calling for a detailed -- report in the matter, I have the honor to forward herewith the vernacular records in connection with it, ending with the Chikmagalur Sub Division Officer's yadi No. 276 dated 28th July 1919. The complaint of the Brahmins is that the during the Rathothsavam of the Mallikarjuna Swami on the occasion of the Jatra of 1917-18 (25-3-1918), certain customs which were mamool were for the first time broken and that the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner on the petition of the Sivachar Pujaries in March 1919 prior to the Rathotsava of that year to the effect that Sivachar Staniks and Brahmins should jointly carry the deity to the Car was against mamool . It would appear that on the day of Rathotsavam , the idol is brought from the temple to the Rangamantapam by the Thammadies,where it is entrusted to the -- Brahmins for performing puja according to Saiva-Agama and for conducting the deity to the seat on the Car. It is alleged by the Brahmins that from the moment the deity is brought to the Rangamantapam till it is seated on the Car,and the Car is dragged a few paces,the right of performing all ceremonial worship including the carrying of the idol to the seat on the Car,belongs to them , This right is contested

by the tammadies of the temple and the contention arose for the first time in 1917-18.

2. On the petition of the Brahmins dated the 26th March 1918, in regard to the disturbance which took place that year, a ----- report was called for from the Amildar as to what the mamool was and how far the allegations made in the petition were true. The report made by the Amildar Mr. Sreenivasiengar dated the 22nd February 1919. goes to show that the act of the tammadies was against mamool and that from the time the deity was brought to the Ramgamantapam till it was taken to the Car and the Car was dragged a few paces , all worship was being done by the Brahmins, and the Sivachar Staniks had nothing to do with the deity. This report was received by the Deputy Commissioner on the 15th March 1919 and he thereupon passed the following order:-

" Regret it was not sent to the District from the Sub Division earliar. It is hoped the Amildar will see that the mamool ----- adhered to from time immemorial is not in the ~~list~~ beach deviated "

3. In the mean time, on a petition received from the Sivachar pujaries dated 7th March 1919, the Deputy Commissioner had passed an order dated 8th idem that in accordance with the mamool, the deity might be carried to the Car by the Brahmins and the Sivachar Staniks jointly and the Rathotsava conducted. In accordance with this order, the Amildar tried to get the rathotsava performed but the Brahmins would not join in it. He thereupon made a report dated 15th March 1919, giving an account of what took ---- place and stating contrary to his previous report of the 22nd February 1919 that it was learnt on enquiry from those assembled on the Jatra that the Brahmins and the Staniks were jointly carrying the deity from the Rangamantapa to the Car and that this was the mamool.

4. As the reports made by the Amildar were conflicting with one another the Sub Division Officer was asked in this Offi No, 505 dated 22nd April 1919 to hold a personal enquiry

the matter. His report dated 28-7-1919 shows that the right claimed by the staniks to carry deity from the Rangamantapam to the Car is an innovation and that by mamool , the Brahmins have the exclusive right of worship from the time the deity is ----- brought to the Rangamamtapa till the car is dragged a few paces. This report is in conformity with that made by the Amildar on the 22nd February 1919 and I am of opinion that it is a correct record of what the mamool custom is.

5. The Rathotsava of this year comes off in March next .I am issuing orders to the Sub Division Officer Chikmagalur, to have it conducted in accordance with what is found above as the prevailing mamool .

6. The vernacular record may kindly be returned when done with.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Subba Ramiah

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,

For Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District,

(Absent from station)

G.R.S. VI–71]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

g/s 106

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Anjeai

File No. S 58-18

Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

, *the* 191 .

Read—

Date of issue.... 26.3.20.....

Signed by Mr. H. M. Iyer

Telegram dy 11th March 19 from yagati Subba Bhatta and Lakshminarappa stating that the Swachar Pujaris of Sri Mallikarjuna Swami Temple at Para in the Kadur Taluk have been permitted to conduct The Ratotsava in Violation of the prevailing mamul.

Letter no. 505 dy 13.2.20 from the Dy. Comr, Kadur Dist.

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon—As.

Stating that the Sub Divl
Officer who held a personal
Inquiry into the matter
reports that ~~on the matter~~ On the occasion of
~~the~~ The Ratotsava of Sri
Mallikarjuna Swami Temple,
the Brahmans have the
exclusive right of worship
as per manual from the
time the deity is brought
to the Ranganayakapam
~~And that this right continues~~
till the deity is seated on
the car and the car is
dragged a few paces,
that he apprs with the
Sub Divl Officer, and adding
that as the Ratotsava
of this year comes off
in the month of March,
he is issuing orders to
the Sub Divl Officer to
have it conducted in accordance
with the above manual.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Order ~~3187~~ Encl ~~one~~ file
Recorded. ~~Encl~~ 558-12-4
$26-12-0

W. J. 6/3/20

Secretary

To, The Dy. Commr, Kad
Dist, with the usual

Re-Dft

8/3/20 Mis. Dept

W9 1711—GPB—30,000—17-3 19